

May 29 request Rebecca, again thanks for your responses to our questions. We had a good meeting last night of the Board with some discussion of the Grant Road Project, but the more detailed discussion will occur at our retreat on Sunday. There are some questions that were not answered in your response, as well as some new issues that have come up as demolitions have occurred. If you could plan on bringing answers to these issues to the June 16 meeting, it would be helpful.

1. One of the questions not answered in your document was the planned maintenance of the space between Hampton and Park. This includes the basin area and the green area between the basin and Park. We would like assurances that it will be maintained in a manner similar to the maintenance of the buffer along Campbell between Grant and Lester.
2. Also, as the demolitions along Grant occur, the homeowners on the north side of Edison that back up to the alley are concerned about the short term plans for maintaining the lots. There is already a lot of dust being generated and the appearance is deteriorating. Replanted shrubs will likely not survive on their own. Based on the schedule you have told us, the road construction on some of those lots will not be completed for three years. That is a long time to deal with the dust and blight. We would like to discuss this with you so we can get back to the homeowners with answers.
3. With the loss of the Grant Road houses that acted as a noise buffer, the homeowners that back up to the alley are hearing a lot more noise. It was suggested that maybe some of the walls on the backs of the Grant Road properties could be left up until we get closer to dealing with the remnants. The walls would also give some protection from the dust and provide privacy to the homeowners.
4. At the June 16 meeting, we would also appreciate an update on the issue of moving the utilities in the alley to conform with the 20 foot width requirement for fire engine passage in the alley. Is that cost included in the financial plan for the project and does it make the full buffer idea more attractive financially.
5. With regard to the revision of our historic district border, we appreciate your confirmation that the City will pay the fees relating to the revision of the boundaries of the JPNHD. However, you did not address the issue of two revisions. We continue to not understand why the Grant Road Project bifurcates the work in JPN (Phase 2 and Phase 5). It does not make sense to us that the project would disrupt JPN twice. Extending Phase 2 to Campbell would make a lot more sense. The least that the City could do would be to pay the fees for revisions of the JPN Historic District (JPNHD) after each phase if JPNA decided to pursue two separate Grant Road boundary revisions. Please keep in mind that the cost of a revision per Jonathan Mabry is in the \$6,000 to \$8,000 range. It is very significant for JPNA but even two revisions (Phase 2 and Phase 5) would not be a material cost to the Project.
6. Have you talked to Banner about a joint revision of the JPNHD boundaries? At a meeting with Jonathan Mabry and legal counsel for Banner in April, we were told that a joint Grant/Banner revision was not viable. One additional fact you should know is that due to delays in getting homeowners in one block on Lester to sell, it is likely that there will be two separate revisions of the JPNHD boundaries along Lester Street (border with Banner). If a joint revision is viable, there could be a joint revision for Grant Road Phase 2 and the 1700 and 1800 blocks of Lester, and a joint revision for Phase 5 and the 1600 block of Lester.
7. The date you suggested for our July meeting works for JPNA. Some of us will be out of town on August 18, so August 25 would work better for us. I looked at the City Council meeting schedule and they are not meeting that week. Would this change work for you and Nicole? I am copying Barbara Miller on this note and she can comment on whether August 25 works for her.
8. At our meeting we asked you to send emails to me for JPNA and I would distribute them to our team. I would appreciate it if you would add Joan Daniels and Suzanne Trappman to your distribution list so they can get the emails directly. I will continue to forward them to the other members of our team.

Again, thanks for your willingness to work with JPNA on these very important matters. I realize that we have asked a lot of questions and raised a lot of issues, but to the extent we can get them answered early in the process, I think it will go more smoothly.

Steve

© 2015 Microsoft

[Terms](#)

[Privacy & cookies](#)

[Developers](#)

[English \(United States\)](#)

Nicole and Rebecca – As we reviewed our notes here's what we believed you all were going to help us with before May 31 and some updates **in red**. Thank you for meeting and for helping. Joan

Before the May 31 meeting, (OIP) will help with info:

REMNANT PARCEL REQUIREMENTS

- Exact written laws/policies for city's use of remnant parcels, RTA requirements

RETENTION BASIN

- Who will maintain eastern end? (Space between Hampton and Park)

PLANS

- Images to use for May 31 retreat—**We would at least like the 60% plans that were completed in Oct 2014 (per Nov 2014 public open house). We understand they were draft. Simplest images showing**
- **area First to Park;**
 - **area Park to Hampton;**
 - **projection to Campbell.**
- When 90% maps available?
- Do they plan to move the utilities to expand the alley?

TASK FORCE

- Allowing public involvement at Task Force Meetings at beginning of meeting
- Assigning a "sub" for Henry Jacobsen when he is not there
- Assigning next Task Force Meeting Date

COMMUNICATION AND MEETINGS

- Arranging "Design Charette" in addition to Coalition meetings and dates
- Finding best dates in July and August for meeting with Coalition a.k.a. FCC
- Is there a document approved by City Council that refers to the need to "preserve and enhance existing neighborhoods."?

FUNDING – What's the funding source for the consultant for redistricting Historic boundaries?

HAMPTON - Closing Hampton-petition available from Jesse Soto, TDOT

Note: We have followed up with that office and they are sending petitions etc.

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS:

1) Coordination between Environmental Service and Engineering for alley dumping by evacuee residents which is occurring. Roll-offs? Beth is concerned if the neighborhood orders roll-offs it would cause wildcat dumping, however the blight is beginning. We have already asked her to call environmental services about a particularly bad lot.

2) Could OIP produce plans on large paper for May 31 meeting or send to J Daniels (jdchama@msn.com) in pdf or jpg format in time for us to take to reproductions. Note: plans do not print on letter or legal paper from home computer so Tucson Repro will print for us.